



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,680	07/20/2001	James B. Schrempp	5219P005	2531

7590 08/10/2009  
Marina Portnova  
1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
----------

PATEL, DHAIRYA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2451

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09910680	7/20/2001	SCHREMPP ET AL.	5219P005

Marina Portnova  
1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040

**EXAMINER**

Dhairya A. Patel

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2451	20090807
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

An IDS filed on 5/7/2009 has been considered by the Examiner.

Examiner would like to correct the Evidence Relied upon to be reviewed on Appeal for all Claims.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

- A). Lert Jr. et al. U.S. Patent # 4,230,990
- B). Aberson et al. U.S. Patent # 5,732,193
- C). Chowdhury et al. U.S. Patent # 6,026,439
- D). Lai et al. U.S. Patent # 6,006,183
- E) Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124

Examiner would like Clarify the record for all Grounds of Rejection to the be reviewed on Appeal for all Claims.

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 1-4,6-8,11-13,16-19,22-23,26,31-34,37-39,42-44,47-50,52-55,58,59,are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lert Jr. et al. U.S. Patent # 4,230,990 (hereinafter Lert1).

Claims 20,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Aberson et al. U.S. Patent # 5,732,193 (hereinafter Aberson).

Claims 24,25,27,28,51,56 and 57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Chowdhury et al. U.S. Patent # 6,026,439

(hereinafter Chowdhury).

Claims 9,10,14,15,40,41,45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert et al. in view of Lai et al. U.S. Patent # 6,006,183 (hereinafter Lai).

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 and Chowdhury et al. as applied to claims 27, and further in view of Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124 (hereinafter Brouwer).

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lert1 in view of Brouwer et al. U.S. Patent # 6,279,124 (hereinafter Brouwer).

/John Follansbee/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)